

1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

1D-1 Describe the specific ranking and selection process the CoC uses to make decisions regarding project application review and selection, based on objective criteria. Written documentation of this process must be attached to the application along with evidence of making the information publicly available. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC Board appointed the Community Projects Review Committee to serve as project ranking decision committee on Oct 23. TCHC issued the local RFP on Dec 5 for renewal and new CH PSH and new RRH for families with children published through website, list serve and public meetings. TCHC produced scorecards for renewal projects. Scores were reviewed by each agency and offered comment on each performance metric. The CPRC received a training in December on the NOFA and rank process. The CPRC received all applications, scorecards on Jan 7 and met to make decisions on Jan 14. The decisions were posted on the TCHC website Jan 14 and notice emailed out to all applicants. The CoC Board met Jan 15 to approve the decisions and receive public comment.

1D-2 Describe how the CoC reviews and ranks projects using periodically collected data reported by projects, conducts analysis to determine each project's effectiveness that results in participants rapid return to permanent housing, and takes into account the severity of barriers faced by project participants. Description should include the specific data elements and metrics that are reviewed to do this analysis. (limit 1000 characters)

In 2013 the CoC developed a process where all APRs are developed in cooperation with TCHC to assure that data accurately reflects the HMIS. TCHC approves the APR prior to submission and receives a copy of the eSNAPS APR and C1.9a. The CoC develops a scorecard based on FSP goals and APR data. The scorecards measure occupancy, capacity, exits to permanent housing, employment income, cash benefits, noncash benefits, HMIS accuracy, fund recapture, numbers of chronic and veterans served and participation in CoC trainings and meetings. Agencies are given opportunity to review and comment on each individual measure and the challenges they face based on client barriers and their actions to improve performance. The scores and agency comments are the baseline document for project review. TCHC produces an analysis of proposed outreach persons served and actual persons served to assure that funds are targeted to the chronic, disabled and unsheltered and ES sheltered over persons at imminent risk.

1D-3 Describe the extent in which the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior Homeless Assistance Grants competitions. (limit 750 characters)

TCHC holds monthly general membership meetings open to providers, front line staff and the general community. NOFA and RFP opportunities are presented and the timeline for the CoC Homeless Assistance Program funding process are updated continually to allow for preparation and pre-RFP technical assistance from TCHC. These notices are also distributed on the TCHC list serve. Barriers to new agencies are generally within financial standards capacity. In these cases agencies are encouraged to collaborate with existing CoC funded recipients. TCHC is actively working with agencies that better represent the geographic area of the CoC through future application or cooperative agreement with an existing successful CoC and ESG recipients in 2014.

1D-4 On what date did the CoC post on its website all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, including the Priority Listings with ranking information and notified project applicants and stakeholders the information was available? Written documentation of this notification process (e.g., evidence of the website where this information is published) must be attached to the application. 01/14/2014

1D-5 If there were changes made to the ranking after the date above, what date was the final ranking posted?

1D-6 Did the CoC attach the final GIW approved by HUD either during CoC Registration or, if applicable, during the 7-day grace period following the publication of the CoC Program NOFA without making changes? Yes

1D-6.1 If no, briefly describe each of the specific changes that were made to the GIW (without HUD approval) including any addition or removal of projects, revisions to line item amounts, etc. For any projects that were revised, added, or removed, identify the applicant name, project name, and grant number. (limit 1000 characters)

1D-7 Were there any written complaints received by the CoC in relation to project review, project selection, or other items related to 24 CFR 578.7 or 578.9 within the last 12 months? No

1D-7.1 If yes, briefly describe the complaint(s), how it was resolved, and the date(s) in which it was resolved. (limit 750 characters)

1E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Housing Inventory

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

**1E-1 Did the CoC submit the 2013 HIC data in Yes
the HDX by April 30, 2013?**