

Otis Thornton

From: Hall, Jason T <jason_t_hall@fanniemae.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2015 5:12 PM
To: tblevins@true-worth.org; bacarr@tarrantcounty.com; cstorey@achservices.org; charlie.parker@arlingtontx.gov; For Charlie Parker; revfritz@ststephen-pcusa.com; j.tapscott@recoverycouncil.org; kellyAllen.gray@fortworthtexas.gov; khroch@fwdayresourcectr.org; lindae.saucedo@va.gov; nbyrne@ftwha.org; paularobinson@centerofhopetx.com; randy@thecec.org; robynm@fpcfw.org; rcbrooks@tarrantcounty.com; sean.burton@amr.net; tthomas@safehaventc.org; tim.mckinney@unitedwaytarrant.org; towen@pns-tc.org
Cc: Otis Thornton
Subject: FW: CoC Renewal Funding Score Card Update
Attachments: 2015 Score Card.xlsx; Score Card Companion Document 6.5.15.docx

CoC Board Members:

Please see the message below as it relates to the scorecard discussion that we had at our last Board Meeting. An error was discovered on the score card and has been corrected, but in the interest of transparency I want you all to be aware of the change.

This message was sent to Continuum Grantees.

Thanks for your service.

JH

This e-mail and its attachments are confidential and solely for the intended addressee(s). Do not share or use them without Fannie Mae's approval. If received in error, contact the sender and delete them.

Help Prevent Fraud: If you are aware of or suspect inappropriate activity in connection with a Fannie Mae property, please immediately report it either by e-mail to Mortgagefraud_tips@fanniemae.com or to our Fraud Tips Hotline, 1-800-7FANNIE (1-800-732-6643).

From: Otis Thornton [mailto:otis@ahomewithhope.org]
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2015 5:35 PM
Cc: Sean Burton (sean.burton@amr.net); Hall, Jason T
Subject: [EXTERNAL] CoC Renewal Funding Score Card Update

CoC Grantees:

The deadline for CoC Registration for the 2015 CoC Grant Program competition is today. TCHC has completed the necessary steps on behalf of our Continuum—no action on your part is necessary at this time. Preparations for the competition are underway at TCHC and we are working to complete the first draft of project scorecards for renewal grants.

In June, our local CoC Board reviewed and approved the renewal project score cards that will be used as part of the upcoming, FY 2015 CoC Grant competition. The discussion documents included a draft score card and a "Score Card Companion Document" that explained the purpose, system, and methodology of each metric. Unfortunately, the descriptive text of question **7.3** on the draft score card did not match the methodology described in the Companion Document; moreover, the scoring matrix in the Companion Document did not differentiate between programs in a meaningful way. To maintain fidelity to the intent of the measure and in an effort to be fully transparent, this email clarifies the methodology and scoring scale that will be used to assess participation in CoC Coordinated Assessment.

The Score Card will be revised to say:

7.3 What percentage of newly enrolled households served by the project during the 12 months prior to NOFA announcement were prioritized through the Coordinated Assessment system?			
Point Distribution Scale	PSH Possible Score	TH/RR Possible Score	Project Score
<50%	0	5	
50%-74%	5	10	
75%-100%	15	15	

The Companion Document will be revised to say:

7.1 Participation in CoC Coordinated Assessment

- a) **Purpose:** To review project participation ~~and compliance~~ with the local CoC Coordinated Assessment and prioritization system.
- b) **System:** All
- c) **Methodology of Metric:** HUD requires that each Continuum of Care to develop a Coordinated Assessment System (CAS) and local priorities for CoC-funded housing. Participation in the local CAS can be determined by the number of newly enrolled clients that have matriculated through the prioritization process and whose priority status is consistent with the local policy on prioritization. ~~Projects are targeting clients that are most appropriate for their limited housing supply available. PSH projects should primarily house P1-P8 clients, TH projects should primarily house P9-P10, and RRH projects should primarily house P11-P12.~~
- d) **Reporting Methodology:** The number of clients with a priority status will be determined by totaling the number of clients that were newly enrolled during the reporting period and have an assigned priority status ~~and~~ divided by the total number of newly enrolled clients during the same time frame as reported in ETO

Please distribute within your organization as needed; contact me or Jason Hall with any questions. Thank you for all that you do to serve our community.

Have a great weekend!

cc: Jason Hall, CoC Board Chair
Sean Burton, CPRC Committee Chair

M. Otis Thornton
Executive Director
Tarrant County Homeless Coalition
www.AHomeWithHope.org