

**2013 Continuum of Care Program Local Competition
Annual Performance Report Scorecard
PRELIMINARY**

Program Type (SSO/TH/SH/PH):

Recipient:

Subrecipient:

Project Name:

APR Date Range of Performance Scoring:

Agency Instructions: Review your scoring. Under “**Agency Comment**” detail any discrepancies or provide detailed narrative regarding the score. Please limit each Agency Comment to 150 words per section. Return your draft scorecard, with any comments, to rebecca@ahomewithhope.org or fax to: 817-719-9489 by Tuesday, December 4, 2013. If a correction must be made, you will be informed and provided the amended scorecard.

Section 1: Program Information (ALL)	0 points	2 Points	5 Points	10 Points
1. How many persons served in Operating Year compared to original application?	< 65% or > 145%	65% – 74% or 136% + 145%	75% - 84% or 126% - 135%	85% - 100% or 101% - 125%
<i>From APR Q8 / eSNAPS 5B</i>				
Section 1: Program Information (ALL)	0 points	2 Points	5 Points	10 Points
2. What is the quarterly PIT capacity rate?	No quarter between 85-125%	1 – 2 quarters between 85-125%	3 quarters between 85-125%	4 quarters between 85-125%
<i>From APR Q8 PIT / eSNAPS 5B</i>				
Total Points (20):				

Agency Comment: _____

Housing Stability: HUD established a minimum national housing stability goal of 6 months or more of 80% and the local CoC goal for PY2012 is 88%. HUD established a minimum national TH to PH goal of 65% or more and the local CoC goal for PY2012 is 80%.

Section 2: Housing Stability – PH (PH and SH only)	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points
3. What % of participants (leavers and stayers) stayed in housing 6 months or longer?	< 70%	70% - 79%	80% - 87%	88% - 100%
<i>From APR Q27</i>				
Section 2: Housing Stability – PH (PH and SH only)	0 Points	2 Points	5 Points	10 Points
4. What % of leavers exited into other permanent housing situations?	< 70%	70% - 79%	80% - 87%	88% - 100%
<i>From APR Q29a1</i>				
Total Points (25):				

Section 2: Housing Stability – TH (TH only)	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points
5. What % of leavers exited the program into permanent housing situations?	< 55%	55%- 64%	65% - 79%	80% - 100%
<i>From Q29</i>				
Total Points(15):				

Agency Comment: _____

Supportive Services: HUD established a minimum national goal of 20% of adult program participants at exit will receive mainstream cash benefits and the local CoC goal for PY2012 was 57%. HUD established a minimum national goal of 20% of adult program participants at exit will have employment income and the local CoC goal for PY2012 was 27%. HUD has not yet established a minimum national goal for reducing participants leaving with no financial cash resources. The CoC must demonstrate an improvement from the prior year. The local CoC performance in the PY2012 CoC Program application for PY2011 was 48% of adults exited with no financial resources.

Section 3: Supportive Services (ALL)	0 Points	1 Points	3 Points	5 Points
6. What % of all leavers connected with mainstream cash benefits at exit?	< 20%	20% - 46%	47% - 56%	57% - 100%
<i>From Q25a1 Total line2 to end</i>				
Section 3: Supportive Services (ALL)	0 Points	1 Points	3 Points	5 Points
7. What % of adult leavers had earned income from employment at time of exit?	< 15%	15% - 19%	20% - 26%	27% +
<i>From Q25a1 Adults line1</i>				
Section 3: Supportive Services (ALL)	0 Points	2 Points	5 Points	10 Points
8. What is the percentage of adult leavers with no financial resources at exit?	> 47%	38%-47%	30% – 39%	0%-29%
<i>From Q25a2 Adults line 1</i>				
Total Points (20):				

Agency Comment: _____

HMIS: HUD requires 9% or less nulls or no answer within any category of required data elements in the HMIS. The local goal is for less than 2% nulls.

Section 4: HMIS PARTICIPATION (ALL)	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points
9. What % of data elements were completed in the HMIS for the program?	>3.0%	2.1%-3.0%	1.1% - 2.0%	1% or less
Q7 (24 data elements X # of clients / Q7 Missing/Don't Know)				
Total Points (15):				

Agency Comment: _____

Organizational Performance: HUD expects CoC funds to be spend in a timely manner (at least quarterly) and expended in full. The CoC set a PY2012 goal of less than 1% recapture rate. In order to improve the system of care, coordination, performance, strategic community planning, and communicate federal program goals and objectives, TCHC collected participation and attendance records for 30 meetings and one online bonus training.

Section 5: Organizational Performance (ALL)	0 Points	2 Points	5 Points	10 Points
10. How many years did the applicant have funds recaptured in the last 3 grant close out years?	3 years	2 years	1 year	No funds recaptured
Section 5: Organizational Performance (ALL)				
11. What percent of total grant funds did the applicant have recaptured in the most recent grant closeout year?	More than 4%	Less than 4%	Less than 2%	No funds recaptured
Section 5: Organizational Performance				
	0 Points	1 Points	3 Points	5 Points

12. How many of TCHC general meetings and trainings did the applicant attend?	< 23	21-23	24-26	27-30
Total Points (25):				

Total Score:

<u>Section 1</u> Program 20 (ALL)	<u>Section 2</u> Housing Stability (SSO 0, TH 15, SH 15,PH 25)	<u>Section 3</u> Supportive Services 20 (ALL)	<u>Section 4</u> HMIS 15 (ALL)	<u>Section 5</u> Organizational Performance 25 (ALL)	<u>TOTAL MAXIMUM</u> <u>POINTS POSSIBLE:</u> SSO – 80 pts TH/SH – 95 pts PH – 105 pts

Other Program Information:

1. 2013 Housing Inventory Chart – Beds Dedicated to the Chronically Homeless: _____
2. PY2012 CoC Program Application (5.b) CH Non Veteran and CH Veterans Proposed to Serve: ___ of ___
3. Actual CH Non-Veteran and CH Veterans Served: ___ of ___

**TX601 Continuum of Care Board of Directors Meeting
CoC TX601**

Wednesday, January 15, 2013 3:00 pm

United Way of Tarrant County Board Room
1500 N. Main Second Floor Fort Worth, TX

AGENDA

Call to order

- 1) Approval of the October 23, 2013 CoC Board Minutes – Randy Clinton
- 2) Changes in CoC Board of Directors Membership – Randy Clinton
- 3) TCHC Collaborative Applicant Quarterly Report – Cindy J. Crain
 - i) FY2013/2014 CoC Program Grant
 - ii) 2014 Homeless Point in Time Count and Housing Inventory Chart
 - iii) Coordinated Assessment System
 - iv) CoC Planning
 - v) 2014 State of the Homeless Address
- 4) Report of the HMIS Governance Committee – Roddy Youree
- 5) Continuum of Care Board of Directors and Collaborative Applicant Memorandum of Understanding – Randy Clinton and Fritz Ritsch

- 6) Community Projects Review Committee FY2013 CoC Project Prioritization and Funding Decisions – Jason Hall
- 7) Continuum of Care Rental Subsidy Policy for CoC Program Grant Rapid Rehousing Programs – Cindy J. Crain
- 8) Continuum of Care Targeted Population Policy for Continuum of Care Program Grant Housing Funds – Cindy J. Crain
- 9) Continuum of Care Emergency Solutions Grant Policy – Cindy J. Crain
- 10) Public Comment

Adjourn

TO: Continuum of Care Board of Directors

FROM: Jason Hall, Chair – CPRC

DATE: 1/15/14

RE: CoC Grant Decisions FY 2013

On Tuesday January 7, the Community Projects Review Committee (CPRC) met to receive the applications for project funding for the FY2013 Continuum of Care Program Grant.

The CPRC was challenged to, for the first time in our CoC's history, make cuts of 5% to the renewal demand (-\$575,135). Also, a first, the CPRC funding decisions would impact the CoC's scoring potential for two years of funding, over \$22, million.

HUD's Notice of Funding Availability for FY2013/2014 and the HUD [FY 2013 - FY 2014 CoC Program Competition NOFA Broadcast](#) relayed federal goals and instructions to local communities on the project priority and funding process. The selection process foundation was based on:

- Addressing the national goals with the Federal Plan to End Homelessness "*Opening Doors*" to end chronic homelessness, end veteran homelessness, and reduce the number of homeless families with children
- Projects that increased the number of beds dedicated to the chronically homeless
- Projects that focused on outreach to participants that come from unsheltered and emergency sheltered circumstances.
- Projects that were drawing down funds in a timely manner

TCHC, as collaborative applicant, communicated the funding challenges and goals to all renewal recipients and sub-recipients and the goals were outlined in the local request for proposal.

The CPRC took the task very seriously and was cognizant that decisions might completely reduce or eliminate funding for some programs. Attached is the final project priority and funding as unanimously agreed by the committee.

A notable deficit in many projects exists in the increase in the number of permanent housing beds dedicated to the chronically homeless. Other than those projects funded under former permanent housing bonus programs restricting rental assistance to individuals and families that are chronically homeless, the majority of permanent housing programs only slightly increased the number of beds dedicated to the chronically homeless, leaving the Continuum of Care Application, at this point well below the HUD goal of 85% dedicated beds as stated in the NOFA.

Based on the FY2013 Housing Inventory Chart on file in the TX CoC Homeless Data Exchange, the CoC funded PSH beds is at 56% dedicated to chronically homeless and 52% of all PSH beds.

Therefore, the CPRC recommends that the Continuum of Care Board of Directors, through a policy statement of funding priority for the FY2013 and FY2014 process, direct PSH applicants to increase the number of beds dedicated to the chronically homeless in a manner and amount to be determined by Staff at the direction of the Board to achieve the HUD goal in FY 2014.